OFFICE OF SURFACE MINING
RECLAMATION AND ENFORCEMENT

ANNUAL EVALUATION SUMMARY REPORT

FOR THE

MONTANA
ABANDONED MINE LANDS PROGRAM

Evaluation Year 2009
(July 1, 2008 to June 30, 2009)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Introduction 1

II. General Information on the Montana Program 1

III. Noteworthy Accomplishments 3

IV. Montana Utilization of OSMRE Technological Assistance..... 4

V. Results of Evaluation Year 2008 Review..... 4

VI. Acid Mine Drainage 7

VII. Public and Interagency Participation 7

VIII. Accomplishments and Inventory Reports..... 8

Chart 1: Montana 2008 Pre-Construction Projects..... 9

Chart 2: Montana 2008 Acres and Hazards Remaining 10

Chart 3: Montana 2008 Completed Projects..... 11

Chart 4: Montana 2008 Projects Under Construction..... 11

IX. Photos 12

Appendix A: State Comments and CFO Responses to State Comments..... 14

(Cover Photo: Tailings repository for the Snowshoe Project near Libby, MT)

MONTANA
ABANDONED MINE LANDS PROGRAM
ANNUAL REPORT

Part I. Introduction

Evaluation of the state reclamation program is conducted by the Casper Field Office (CFO) of the Office of Surface Mining (OSM). The 2009 evaluation period started on July 1, 2008 and concluded June 30, 2009. Evaluation methods are based upon OSM Directive AML-22 and a Performance Agreement (PA) between the State and OSM. This agreement incorporates a shared commitment by the State and OSM in determining how annual evaluations will be conducted. The State takes an active role in the entire evaluation process. The process is designed to evaluate whether the State, through its Abandoned Mine Land Reclamation (AMLR) program, is achieving the overall objective of Section 102 of the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act (SMCRA) which states that AMLR programs are to:

> "... promote the reclamation of mined areas left without adequate reclamation prior to the enactment of this Act and which continue, in their unreclaimed condition, to substantially degrade the quality of the environment, prevent or damage the beneficial use of land or water resources, or endanger the health or safety of the public ..."

Part II. General Information on the Montana Program

On November 24, 1980, the Secretary of the Department of the Interior approved the Montana AMLR Plan under the provisions of Title IV of SMCRA. With that approval, the State assumed primary authority for the reclamation of non-emergency abandoned mine land (AML) reclamation projects within the State. On August 18, 1983, the Secretary approved Montana's April 20, 1983, amendment to its AMLR Plan allowing Montana to assume responsibility for an emergency response reclamation program. The Montana Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ), Mine Waste Cleanup Bureau (MWCB) currently administers these programs.

The Montana Abandoned Mine Land Reclamation (AMLR) program continues to operate under the guidelines of SMCRA, the approved State Reclamation Plan, the Federal Assistance Manual and associated rules, regulations and policy decisions. The State administers an excellent AMLR program in full compliance with their approved AMLR Plan.

The Montana AMLR program was initiated in 1980 and for the next ten years the State concentrated on abating the hazards left by past coal mining practices. In 1990 the State certified that all known coal problems had been addressed and they were then authorized by OSM to begin reclaiming the multitude of high priority non coal hazards in their inventory. However,

any abandoned coal hazards that are discovered must still be given priority funding over non coal projects, and this requirement has been followed by the State.

Both the design and construction portions of each AML project are completed by private contractors. The State has established a bid process to obtain the most qualified design and construction companies at the most cost effective price. The design and specification work is accomplished during the winter months when most outside work is impractical, and the actual reclamation work starts as soon as weather and ground conditions will allow heavy equipment to be moved to the site. Many of the sites presently being reclaimed are in mountainous terrain and at high altitudes. This fact may drastically shorten the amount of time available for reclamation work because of snow, ice and mud. In recent years the construction season has also been shortened by wildfires which necessitate special operating conditions shortening the allowable work days. A part of the responsibility of each design contractor is to provide an inspector for the construction work. This inspector will be on site during working hours to ensure that the work is being completed according to the plans and specifications that have been approved by the MWCB.

Staff personnel of the MWCB are very knowledgeable and dedicated to the completion of the program goals. An excellent working relationship exists between the staff of the MWCB, the CFO staff, and the State and Federal agencies that must be contacted during the course of preparing projects for reclamation. The MWCB personnel spend most of the construction season in the field coordinating and supervising the reclamation work, and preparing future projects for reclamation. Some construction work may continue into the winter months but the staff primarily spends this time of the year working with the design contractors to get projects ready for the upcoming construction season.

One AMLR Consolidated Grant was awarded to the State during this evaluation period and it was approved well within the government performance period of 60 days. No problems or issues exist in the Montana AMLR program.

The following is a list of acronyms used in this report:

AMD	Acid Mine Drainage
AML	Abandoned Mine Land
AMLIS	Abandoned Mine Land Inventory System
AMLR	Abandoned Mine Land Reclamation
CFO	Casper Field Office
DEQ	Department of Environmental Quality
EEE/CA	Expanded Engineer's Estimate and Cost Analysis
MWCB	Mine Waste Cleanup Bureau
OIG	Office of the Inspector General
OSM	Office of Surface Mining
PA	Performance Agreement
PAD	Problem Area Description
SMCRA	Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act
USDA	United States Department of Agriculture

Part III. Noteworthy Accomplishments

The most noteworthy accomplishment for the Montana Abandoned Mine Program during this evaluation period, involved the Toston Smelter Project which is on the banks of the Missouri River. The Toston Smelter Project was substantially complete in October 2008. April 2009 saw the project come alive again as the site hosted Arbor Day and Earth Week activities associated with the Governor and First Lady's Math and Science Education Initiative to youth.

The Math and Science Initiative aims to spark an interest in math and science when students are young so they are prepared to take advantage of high-paying, high-demand jobs that require math and science skills, including many new energy jobs. Fifty high school students from Helena's Project for Alternative Learning and the Townsend High School Honor Society joined First Lady Nancy Schweitzer and professionals from the Department of Environmental Quality, Office of Surface Mining, and Montana Conservation Corps for a day of tree planting activities along the restored Missouri River floodplain where the Toston Smelter once stood.

First Lady Nancy Schweitzer, a botanist by training, led instruction in tree planting.

"Spending time outside to revegetate an area where virtually nothing grew in the past gets students excited about science and that curiosity continues back in the classroom. It also lets students know about job opportunities that are available in Montana's growing restoration economy. It is also fitting that we are planting trees and wrapping up the reclamation of the smelter site during the week when Montanans and people all over the planet honor the earth."

Project manager, Devin Clary talked with the assembled students about reclamation science and the feeling of accomplishment that goes in seeing mine scarred areas returned to use. "When we started this reclamation project, the site was barren, littered with building rubble and black slag waste piles that extended into the Missouri River. Now, post-reclamation, the waste has been removed and encapsulated into a repository that blends into the natural landscape. The riverbank and floodplain were restored and once the trees and shrubs we are planting take hold, it will be hard to tell this area was ever disturbed."

Part IV. Montana Utilization of OSMRE Technological Assistance

A. National Technical Training Program (NTTP)

Eight Montana AML staff members attended seventeen NTTP instructor-led training courses during the evaluation year. One class, AML Reclamation Projects, was held in Helena, Montana, which allowed students from state agencies other than the AML program to also receive training pertinent to their programs.

B. Technical Innovation and Professional Services (TIPS)

Several Montana AML staff participated in the OSM TIPS Geospatial Conference, and the program has a representative on the Western Region Technology Transfer Team. Continued involvement in these technical conferences and teams, will foster additional partnerships and innovative approaches to resolve technical challenges.

During the evaluation year one staff member attended the TIPS – AutoCAD Fundamentals for Permitting and Reclamation course.

Part V. Results of Evaluation Year 2009 Review

The Montana Abandoned Mine Land Performance Agreement was signed on June 25, 2008. It will apply to each year's evaluation through the 2009 evaluation year. The PA describes the team's purpose and the topics selected for review to evaluate the performance of the AML program. On-the-ground, performance-based results were the principal focus of program evaluation and documentation. This agreement will be renegotiated during the next evaluation period to reflect any changes in focus or scope of oversight.

Results of the 2009 evaluations are summarized below. The evaluations included field visits to AML projects, interviews with DEQ-MWCB staff, and reviews of the AMLR Program's project specifications, grant applications and reports, and internal State and AMLIS inventories. The evaluation results are described in greater detail in evaluation reports, written for each review topic.

A. Summary Evaluation of Overall Reclamation Success

Our 2009 evaluation of overall reclamation success determined if DEQ-MWCB's reclamation met project goals. The 2009 review sample included one non-coal reclamation project completed during evaluation year 2009, and one coal reclamation project completed during evaluation year 2009. The projects completed during evaluation year 2009 addressed clogged streams/stream lands, industrial/residential waste, portals and gob piles.

We compared DEQ-MWCB's reclamation to project specifications, results of interagency consultation, and other information. Our evaluation focused on determining whether reclamation met project goals by implementing the scope of work to abate original hazards, complying with conditions (if any) resulting from interagency consultation, and improving overall site conditions compared to pre-reclamation conditions. Generally, we agreed projects met their goals if abatement and reclamation measures were intact and functional and if no problems compromising those measures were apparent. We considered site conditions improved overall if hazards to public health and safety were abated and associated reclamation reduced environmental problems such as erosion and sedimentation while promoting revegetation.

We concluded that generally the non-coal project we visited met their respective goals. DEQ-MWCB met the goals of abating hazards and improving site conditions at the non-coal project. Tailings and wastes associated with an abandoned smelter were excavated from a river and associated lands and disposed of in appropriate repositories located off-site and constructed on-site. Hazardous equipment and facilities were removed.

B. Summary Evaluation of AML Emergency Investigations and Abatement Efforts

Our 2009 evaluation of AML emergency investigations and abatement efforts determined if the emergency criteria of the State AMLR plan are satisfied and the project(s) are completed as described in the AML Emergency Investigation report. The 2009 review sample included all AML emergency complaints received during the evaluation year, and all emergency projects completed during the evaluation year. During evaluation year 2009 the DEQ-MWCB received one citizen complaint of an AML emergency. This emergency was immediately addressed and all procedures were completed in a timely manner.

C. Summary Evaluation of AML Grant Fiscal and Administrative Controls

The Montana AML Grants administration was monitored throughout EY2009. Financial Status Reports continue to be submitted within the required timeframes and with no deficiencies noted. A letter-of-credit random sample drawdown request for the FY2007 AML Grant S07AP12415 was selected by the WR Grants Specialist for further analysis, and no deficiencies were noted. Interviews conducted with the Montana AML Grant Accounting staff confirmed that recent audits had no questioned or disallowed costs associated with OSM-Montana AML grant(s). The WR Grants Specialist will continue to monitor Montana AML Grants administration in EY2010.

D. Summary Evaluation of Abandoned Mine Land Inventory System (AMLIS)

Our 2009 evaluation of AMLIS determined if the information the State entered into AMLIS agrees with information in its files. This topic was mandated for review due to a September, 2004 report issued by Interior's Office of the Inspector General (OIG). The report criticized the accuracy of AMLIS data, based on the OIG review of AMLIS data for four eastern States' AML programs. The OIG's review concluded that AMLIS data did not match data in those States' files and recommended establishing "a quality control system that ensures that States, Tribes, and OSM, as applicable, review and certify the accuracy of data entered into AMLIS." In response to the OIG's recommendation, OSM required its field offices to implement two requirements. The first requirement is to "assure that each State and Indian Tribe AML program has procedures in place to ensure and certify the accuracy of data entered into AMLIS" as part of the FY2004 oversight (subsequently changed to FY2005). OSM Headquarters subsequently advised field offices to drop the certification requirement. As a result, the focus is to make sure States and Tribes have requisite systems in place. The CFO and Montana DEQ-MWCB chose to include this assurance as part of the evaluation year 2006 oversight. The evaluation year 2006 oversight determined Montana has such a system in place that is adequate to ensure accurate data is entered into AMLIS.

The second requirement implemented by OSM in response to the OIG's recommendation stated, "[o]nce these State and Indian Tribe procedures are in place, OSM will annually review a random sample of [PADs] to see if the information entered into AMLIS agrees with the information in the PAD." As a result, the focus is to make sure the data States and Tribes entered into AMLIS PADs (an integral part of AMLIS) agrees with the information in their files. The CFO and DEQ-MWCB chose to include this assurance as part of the evaluation year 2009 oversight. The evaluation goal was to determine if the information Montana enters into AMLIS, for projects completed during the evaluation year, agrees with information in its files. Two reclamation projects were completed during the evaluation year.

The DEQ-MWCB compiles data from EXCEL spreadsheets for input into AMLIS. Upon award of a construction contract after completion of the bidding process, the engineer's estimate and contractor's bid are entered into an EXCEL spreadsheet to maintain cost accounting throughout the duration of the construction project and to prepare contractor invoice forms. The Fiscal Officer maintains control of the EXCEL spreadsheet. At the completion of the project, construction quantities and costs are reconciled by the contractor and engineer, approved by the project manager and transferred to the Fiscal Officer for final reconciliation. The engineer completes the Final Construction Completion Report using the same engineer's estimate and format as originally prepared in the EEE/CA. The Project Manager enters the costing data from the Final Construction Completion Report into the AMLIS PAD completed category.

Completion information entered into AMLIS for the two projects completed during the evaluation year were analyzed and compared to the information contained within the DEQ - MWCB files.

We concluded the information the DEQ-MWCB entered into AMLIS for these completed projects does not agree with the information in its files. We recommend that a more thorough review of Montana's inventory system be done during the next evaluation period.

C. Summary Evaluation of Public Outreach

Our 2009 evaluation of public outreach determined if the DEQ-MWCB is performing public outreach efforts by holding public meetings before applying for grants for new potential project areas. The Montana AMLR Plan requires that the public be afforded the opportunity to offer

comments on abandoned mine reclamation projects. The MWCB considers the public an important component of the reclamation program, and conducts a public meeting in the community nearest each project. The meetings are well publicized and are held in the evenings or on weekends to allow maximum citizen participation. The overall plan for the project area, construction design, maps, overlays and aerial photographs are available and discussed at each public meeting. Individuals may submit comments in writing, or meet with the project managers at any time prior to completion of the comment period on a project. Project managers also meet with affected landowners to explain each project in detail, and keep them informed of the progress throughout the construction phase. Work plans are often altered to conform to comments received from landowners, contractors and the general public.

We concluded the DEQ-MWCB is adhering to the public participation and involvement policy of the State AMLR plan by holding public meetings regarding potential AML project sites.

Part VI. Acid Mine Drainage

Acid Mine Drainage (AMD) is found throughout the State in both coal and non coal abandoned mines, but the heaviest concentrations of AMD are found in the Great Falls/Lewistown Coal Field area. With normal reclamation procedures, the MWCB is able to control or eliminate most of the AMD from the non coal mines. However, the 400+ abandoned coal mines in the 5000 square miles of the Great Falls/Lewistown Coal Field continue to pose an unmanageable AMD problem with the funding level the State receives and the technology that is presently available regarding the treatment of AMD. The only method currently available to treat the widespread AMD problem found in this extensive abandoned coal field is to construct a large water treatment plant, or several smaller plants, at strategic locations. The polluted water could then be piped from throughout the area into the treatment facility or facilities. The cost of the treatment facilities and the pipeline necessary to handle the AMD could easily run as high as twenty times the annual AML allocation received by Montana and this does not include the cost of any maintenance or the routine operation and maintenance of the system once it is in use.

The MWCB has completed a considerable amount of abandoned mine reclamation in the Great Falls/Lewistown Coal Field area of the State, and they are still attempting to control the AMD situation through conventional methods of reclamation. Some of these methods work for a short period of time but are not acceptable for long term use. The MWCB continues to monitor scientific advancement in the prevention and treatment of AMD in anticipation that a cost effective treatment method will be found. The MWCB is beginning to evaluate alternative mitigation concepts that focus on AMD source control, rather than active or chemical treatment of AMD. Source control could include plantings of deep-rooted alfalfa, on the surface above underground mine voids, to soak up excess surface water entering the mines. Procurement of alternative funding sources for AMD abatement is also being investigated.

Part VII. Public and Interagency Participation

The MWCB goes to great lengths to develop and maintain a good working relationship with all the State and Federal agencies it works with. This carries over into the relationship with local agencies and groups, and to the landowners who have AML sites on their land.

Habitat enhancement for wildlife is incorporated into each project where it is feasible, and the retention of surface water for landowners is a high priority. They have also recorded a significant amount of the mining history of the State to be provided to educational facilities, and to mitigate the loss of important cultural resources during the reclamation process.

The DEQ-MWCB provides further opportunities for public participation and involvement through its internet website and press releases. The MWCB posts Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis Reports of proposed projects, Reclamation Investigation reports, notices of public hearings of proposed AML projects and "A Guide to Abandoned Mine Reclamation." Public meetings have been held in several communities in the Great Falls/Lewiston Coal Field to keep the citizens updated on the problems and progress of research to abate the acid mine drainage concerns from the areas abandoned coal mines.

Part VIII. Accomplishments and Inventory Reports

Several projects are presently in the investigation, engineering, or design phase. These are listed in **Chart 1**. Since implementation of their approved AMLR program, the MWCB has eliminated safety hazards and threats to the environment posed by abandoned mines. Reclamation has involved coal and non-coal mines as provided for in SMCRA. **Chart 2** shows hazard categories reclaimed during the 2009 evaluation year and the status of hazard categories remaining at the end of the 2009 evaluation year. The hazard categories reclaimed (completed) during the 2009 evaluation year were addressed by the individual project listed in **Chart 3**. The hazard categories under construction (not completed) during the 2009 evaluation year were addressed by the individual projects listed in **Chart 4**.

CHART 1
Montana 2009
Pre-Construction Projects That Are In the Investigation, Engineering, or Design Phase

PROJECT	COST[1]	ENVIRONMENTAL BENEFIT	
Bald Butte Mill Site	$1,635,150	14	acres reclaimed
Bald Mountain	$2,905,650	8	acres reclaimed
Barnes King Gulch Tailings	$1,198,791	4	acres reclaimed
Bliss Fire	$65,000	10	acres reclaimed
Boss Tweed	$3,049,860	10	acres reclaimed
Broken Hill	$204,600	20	acres reclaimed
Champion Mine	$402,600	4	acres reclaimed
Chartam	$352,770	8	acres reclaimed
Charter Fire	$9,655	1	acres reclaimed
Custer Mill Site	$757,680	40	acres reclaimed
Drumlummon Mine/Mill/Tailings	$6,192,450	12	acres reclaimed
East Pacific Mine	$2,519,550	12	acres reclaimed
Elkhorn Cr. Tailings	$1,703,955	8	acres reclaimed
Elkhorn Queen	$759,000	5	acres reclaimed
Emery Mine	$8,778,825	13	acres reclaimed
Forest Rose Mine	$1,023,000	12	acres reclaimed
Frohner Mine	$330,000	5	acres reclaimed
Garnet Gold Mine	$1,487,970	13	acres reclaimed
Gold Leaf/Priscilla	$11,764,500	12	acres reclaimed
Goldsil Mill Site	$23,100,000	60	acres reclaimed
Haughian Fire	$290,000	12.5	acres reclaimed
Highland	$1,320,000	3	acres reclaimed
Keating Tailings	$4,752,000	55	acres reclaimed
Lily/Orphan Boy Mine	$85,800	2	acres reclaimed
McLaren Tailings	$12,474,000	38	acres reclaimed
Marsh Fire	$182,145	5.1	acres reclaimed
Montro Gold	$207,900	3	acres reclaimed
Ohio	$1,864,500	45	acres reclaimed
O'Neill Fire	$11,000	0.04	acres reclaimed
Queen/Tourmaline Queen	$2,640,000	3	acres reclaimed
Republic Mine and Mill (aka Erma No. 4)	$265,782	1	acres reclaimed
Shepherd #1 Fire	$219,312	6	acres reclaimed
Sunrise/January Mine	$363,990	5	acres reclaimed
Tonn Fire	$75,000	5.6	acres reclaimed
Waldie Fire	$25,000	1.5	acres reclaimed
TOTALS	**$93,017,435**	**454**	**acres reclaimed**

(1) Based on average disposal cost per cubic yard using historic engineering and construction costs through 2008.

Chart 2
Montana 2009
Acres and Hazards Remaining

Hazard Status	6/30/2008 Status		EY 2009 AMLIS Additions		Reclaimed in EY 2009		09/30/2009 Status	
BE Bench	0		0.00	acres	0.00		0	
CS Clogged Streams	22.2	miles	0.90	miles	1.40	miles	21.7	miles
CSL Clogged Stream Lands	92.6	acres	11.00	acres	(2.50)	acres	106.1	acres
DH Dangerous Highwalls	0		0.00		0.00		0	
DI Dangerous Impoundments	0		0.00		0.00		0	
DP Ind/Res Waste	1		16.10	acres	16.10	acres	1	acres
DPE Dangerous Pile	271.2	acres	9.00	acres	18.00	acres	262.2	acres
DS Dangerous Slide	0		0.00		0.00		0	
EF Equip/Facil	0		0.00		0.00		0	
GHE Hazard	0		0.00		0.00		0	
GO Gobs	11	acres	0.00	acres	0.00	acres	11	acres
H Highwalls	0		0.00		0.00		0	
HEF Hazard Equip	648		10.00		15.00		643	
HR Haul Road	0		0.00		0.00		0	
HWB	8		0.00		0.00		8	
IRW Indust/Resid	650.1	acres	11.20	acres	(28.80)	acres	690.1	acres
MO Mine Opening	0		0.00		0.00		0	
P Portal	194		5.00		4.00		195	
PI Pits	0		0.00		(1.00)		1	
PW AI Polluted Water	0		0.00		0.00		0	
PEHC Polluted Water	0		0.00		0.00		0	
S Subsidence	0.1	acres	60.10	acres	60.10	acres	0.1	acres
SA Spoil Area	0	acres	0.10	acres	0.10	acres	0	acres
SB Surface Burning	0	acres	3.00	acres	3.00	acres	0	acres
SP Slump	0		0.00		0.00		0	
UMF Underground	0	each	0.00		0.00		0	
VO Vertical Opening	81	each	1.00		2.00		80	
WA Water Problems	100	gpm	0.00	gpm	0.00	gpm	100	gpm

Chart 3 Montana
2009
Completed Projects

Project Name	Project Cost	Environmental Benefit
Trail Creek Coal Mitigation Project	$807,443	GO, P
Toston Smelter Reclamation Project	$839,705	CSL, CS, IRW

Chart 4 Montana
2009
Projects under Construction (not completed)

Project Name	Project Cost[1]	Environmental Benefit
Spring Meadow Lake Reclamation Project	$2,375,898	IRW
Snowshoe Mine and Millsite	$3,697,958	CS, CSL, IRW, P
Belt Coal Mine Drainage	$3,105,020	PEHC, DPE

Part IX. Photos

In addition to the photos of the Toston Smelter Project, the following photographs have been attached to this report to further demonstrate the degree of hazardous conditions encountered in various areas of the State, and the excellent reclamation accomplished by the MWCB to eliminate these hazards.

Trail Creek Project: Before (2008) and after photos showing removal of coal spoil and reclaimed and reseeded area, summer of 2009.

Snowshoe Crk Project: Upper photos depict conditions in the valley bottom prior to construction. Note the toxic mine and mill tailings in the creek bottom. Lower photos show current construction activities after tailings have been removed and stream bed is being reconstructed. Tailings were removed and encapsulated in the repository facility shown on the cover of this report.

Appendix A:

Montana's Comments and Casper Field Office Responses

Montana was given opportunity to comment and revise this report if necessary, but chose to not do so and accepts the report as written.

Montana's Comments:

DEQ notes OSM's comments relating to accurate data entry by Montana into AMLIS database system. DEQ finds that Trail Creek project and Toston project AMLIS completed cost numbers agreed with our file numbers. There is confusion in the Montana program about what data should be entered into AMLIS and when and how that data should be entered. OSM is creating a new eAMLIS system and Montana requests training in eAMLIS once that system is up and operating.

CFO Response:

No comment.

United States Department of the Interior

OFFICE OF SURFACE MINING
Reclamation and Enforcement
Casper Field Office
150 East "B" Street, Rm 1018
Casper, Wyoming 82601

IN REPLY REFER TO:

September 15, 2009

John Koerth, Supervisor
Mine Waste Cleanup Bureau
Montana Department of Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 200901
Helena, Montana 59620-0901

Dear Mr. Koerth:

Enclosed are three copies of the Office of Surface Mining's 2009 Montana Annual Evaluation Summary Report for the Montana Abandoned Mine Lands Program. If you have any questions contact Harv Gloe at (307) 261-6542.

Sincerely,

Jeff Fleischman, Director Acting for
Casper Field Office

Enclosure

United States Department of the Interior

OFFICE OF SURFACE MINING
Reclamation and Enforcement
Casper Field Office
150 East "B" Street, Rm 1018
Casper, Wyoming 82601

IN REPLY REFER TO:

September 15, 2009

MEMORANDUM

TO: Assistant Director
Program Support

Attn.: Steve Felch, Division of Regulatory Support

FROM: Jeff Fleischman, Director
Casper Field Office

SUBJECT: Montana Title IV 2009 Annual Evaluation Report

Attached are five copies of the final 2009 Montana Annual Evaluation Summary Report for the Montana Abandoned Mine Lands Program. If you have any questions contact Harv Gloe at (307) 261-6542.

Attachments

United States Department of the Interior

OFFICE OF SURFACE MINING
Reclamation and Enforcement
Casper Field Office
150 East "B" Street, Rm 1018
Casper, Wyoming 82601

IN REPLY REFER TO:

September 15, 2009

MEMORANDUM

TO: Al Klein, Regional Director
Western Region

FROM: Jeff Fleischman, Director
Casper Field Office
Acting for

SUBJECT: Montana Title IV 2009 Annual Evaluation Report

Attached is a copy of the final 2009 Montana Annual Evaluation Summary Report for the Montana Abandoned Mine Lands Program. If you have any questions contact Harv Gloe at (307) 261-6542.

Attachment

United States Department of the Interior

OFFICE OF SURFACE MINING
Reclamation and Enforcement
Casper Field Office
150 East "B" Street, Rm 1018
Casper, Wyoming 82601

IN REPLY REFER TO:

September 15, 2009

MEMORANDUM

TO: Danny Lytton, Chief
Reclamation Support

FROM: Jeff Fleischman, Director
Casper Field Office
Acting for

SUBJECT: Montana Title IV 2009 Annual Evaluation Report.

Attached are two copies of the final 2009 Montana Annual Evaluation Report for Title IV. If you have any questions contact Harv Gloe at (307) 261-6542.

Attachments